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## Project Team

- City of Sidney
- Richland County
- Montana Department of Transportation
- Federal Highway Administration
- DOWL HKM
- Gallatin Public Affairs


## Project Development Process



## Project History

- West and East Truck Routes proposed in 1983 County Transportation Plan as two-lane, minor arterials
- City officials contacted the state in 2007 to initiate a truck route study
- Assessment of existing conditions and future development
- Corridor Modeling - Quantm
- Public Meeting - May ‘08
- Stakeholder Meetings - August and December '08
- Agency Meeting - December '08
- MDT-District Presentation to City Council - January ‘09


## Purpose of the Truck Route Study

- To assess the need for a truck route
- To gauge the level of public support for a truck route
- To identify potential corridors for a truck route
- To generate planning-level cost estimates
- To explore private/local/state/federal funding mechanisms and financial feasibility of a truck route


## Quantm

- New corridor and route alignment planning tool
- Successfully used in other areas of the country, and on other MDT projects




## Environmental Constraints



## Truck Traffic Patterns



## Multiple Alignments



What goals and objectives should be used to evaluate alignment options?
Examples may include:

- Minimize truck volume impacts on existing roadway network
- Minimize cost
- Minimize impacts
- Avoid environmentally sensitive areas


## Selected <br> Alignments



## Cost and Impacts

| Alignment | Option | LENGTH <br> (MILES) | WETLAND | RESIDENTIAL |  | COMMERCIAL |  | AGRICULTURAL |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { COST } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | IMPACTS <br> (ACRES) | URBAN <br> (ACRES) | RURAL <br> (ACRES) | URBAN <br> (ACRES) | RURAL <br> (ACRES) | IRRIGATED <br> (ACRES) | NONIRRIGATED (ACRES) |  |
| Central Avenue (S of $14^{\text {th }}$ <br> St.) to the intersection of $14^{\text {th }}$ St. \& $9^{\text {th }}$ Ave. |  | 1.0 | 1.6 to 1.8 | 0 | 4.5 to 5.2 | 0 | 0 to 0.1 | 7.9 to 8.5 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,000,000 \text { to } \\ & \$ 5,000,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| $14^{\text {th }}$ Street <br> Southeast* | No sidewalk | 0.6 | 0 to 0.2 | 0 | 0 to 0.5 | 0 to 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 2,000,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 5,000,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Sidewalk on <br> 1 side | 0.6 | 0 to 0.2 | 0 | 0 to 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 2,500,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 5,500,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Sidewalk on <br> 2 sides | 0.6 | 0 to 0.2 | 0 to 0.2 | 0 to 0.5 | 0.1 to 0.5 | 0 to 0.1 | 0 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 3,000,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 6,000,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| $9^{\text {th }}$ Avenue <br> East Rehab* | No Sidewalk | 1.1 | 0 | 0.2 to 0.7 | 0 | 0.3 to 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 3,500,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 6,500,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Sidewalk on } \\ 1 \text { side } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1.1 | 0 | 0.6 to 0.9 | 0 | 0.5 to 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 4,000,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 7,000,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Sidewalk on } \\ 2 \text { sides } \end{array}$ | 1.1 | 0 | 1.0 to 1.3 | 0 | 1.6 to 1.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 5,000,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 8,000,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Intersection of $9^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and East Holly Street to the intersection of MT 200 and CR 126. |  | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14.5 to 16.8 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,500,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 4,500,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Intersection CR 126 to M Sid | MT 200 and T 16 NW of ney | 2.9 | 0 to 0.3 | 0 | 0.9 to 2.8 | 0 | 1.0 to 1.1 | 15.3 to 17.6 | 28.3 to 31.8 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 5,500,000 \text { to } \\ \$ 8,500,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| TOTAL** |  | 0.6 to 6.7 | 0 to 2.3 | 0 to 1.5 | 0 to 8.5 | 0 to 2.4 | 0 to 1.3 | 0 to 37.7 | 0 to 31.8 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Up to } \\ \$ 32,000,000 \end{gathered}$ |

*All urban sections will require curb and gutter.
** This row represents the range from the minimum (one alignment) to the maximum (all alignments at their highest value).

## Phased <br> Implementation Possibilities



## Urban Typical Section <br> - Started with 12 Urban Typical Sections



# Rural Typical Section <br> - Match Existing MT16 from Sidney to Culbertson 



Next Steps

- Refine Alignments
- Identify Optimal Alignments and Phased Implementation
- $3^{\text {rd }}$ Public Meeting to present study results


## Further Opportunities for Involvement

- Website www.mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/sidneytruckroute/
- Comment Sheets
- Contacts:

Ray Mengel 406.345.8200 or rmengel@mt.gov
Carol Strizich 406.444.9240 or cstrizich@mt.gov
Jamie Jespersen 406.442.0370 or jiespersen@hkminc.com

