TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY vii

1.0 INTRODUCTION 1

1.1 BACKGROUND 1
1.2 STUDY AREA 1
1.3 CLASSIFICATION OF US 93 5
1.4 PURPOSE OF THE US 93 CORRIDOR STUDY 5
1.5 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES OF THE US 93 CORRIDOR STUDY 6
1.6 ORGANIZATION OF THE DOCUMENT 7

2.0 PUBLIC PROCESS AND AGENCY COORDINATION 9

2.1 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT ACTIVITIES 9
2.2 AGENCY COORDINATION 11

3.0 EXISTING SOCIAL, ECONOMIC, AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS 13

3.1 ENVIRONMENTAL, CULTURAL, AND AESTHETIC RESOURCES 13
3.2 EXISTING SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC CONDITIONS 53
3.3 PLANS AND REGULATIONS 58

4.0 EXISTING TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM 65

4.1 HIGHWAY FEATURES AND CHARACTERISTICS 65
4.2 GEOMETRIC ASSESSMENT 66
4.3 CRASH ASSESSMENT 77
4.4 TRAFFIC CONDITIONS 87
4.5 SUMMARY OF EXISTING GEOMETRIC AND OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS 101
4.6 MULTI-MODAL TRANSPORTATION 102
4.7 AREA PROJECTS 105

5.0 TRANSPORTATION FORECASTS 107

5.1 DEMOGRAPHIC AND LAND USE PROJECTIONS 107
5.2 TRAFFIC PROJECTIONS 109

6.0 IMPROVEMENT OPTIONS ANALYSIS 119

6.1 IMPROVEMENT OPTIONS 119
6.2 EVALUATION OF IMPROVEMENT OPTIONS 150

7.0 DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS 185

7.1 POTENTIAL FUNDING SOURCES 186
7.2 OPTIONS CURRENTLY NOT ADVANCED 196
7.3 RECOMMENDED NEAR-TERM OPTIONS 197
7.4 RECOMMENDED MID-TERM OPTIONS 201
7.5 RECOMMENDED LONG-TERM OPTIONS 203
7.6 SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS 203

TABLES

Table 3.1 4(f) Resources within Project Area 15
Table 3.2 6(f) Resources within Project Area 16
Table 3.3 Surface Water Resources in the US 93 Study Area 22
Table 3.4 303(d) Listed Water Bodies in Study Area 25
Table 3.5 Wetlands in the US 93 Corridor 31
Table 3.6 RCRA Sites in Study Area 36
Table 3.7 LUST Sites in Study Area 39
Table 3.8 Tank Release Sites in the Missoula County section of the US 93 Study Area 40
Table 3.9 Mines in the US 93 Corridor 40
Table 3.10 Signs of Wildlife Observed During Field Reconnaissance 41
Table 3.11 Federally Listed ESA Species 44
Table 3.12 Noxious Weed Species Known or Reported to Occur in the Study Area 48
Table 3.13 NRHP Eligible Sites within the US 93 Corridor 52
Table 3.14 Regional Population Profile (2005) 53
Table 3.15 Catchment Area Population Profile (2005) 53
Table 3.16 Catchment Area Employment Profile (2005) 54
Table 3.17 Catchment Area Density Profile (2005) 54
Table 4.1 Horizontal Curve Sharper Than Recommended Minimum Radius 66
Table 4.2 Required Range for Horizontal Radius and Rates of Superelevation for Design Speeds of 60 and 70 mph 67
Table 4.3 Horizontal Curves Failing to Meet Required Amount of Superelevation 67
Table 4.4 Grades Exceeding Maximum Vertical Grade Recommended for Terrain 68
Table 4.5 Projected Number of Crashes Expected to Occur Based on Statewide Average Crash Rate 77
Table 4.6 Collisions with Wild Animals (2002 – 2006) 81
Table 4.7 Roadkill Recovery (2002 – 2006) 83
Table 4.8 Collisions at or near Intersections (2002 – 2006) 85
Table 4.9 Existing AADT (2004) 87
Table 4.10 Existing AADT (2007) 89
Table 4.11 LOS Criteria for Multilane Highways 90
Table 4.12 Example Service Volumes for Multilane Highways 91
Table 4.13 Intersection LOS Criteria 94
Table 4.14 Exisiting (2007) Overall Intersection LOS 95
Table 4.15 Existing (2007) Worst Approach LOS 98
Table 5.1 Catchment Area Population Profile (2005 and 2030) 107
Table 5.2 Catchment Area Employment Profile (2005 and 2030) 107
Table 5.3 Existing and Projected AADT (2007 and 2030) 109
Table 5.4 Projected Overall Intersection LOS (2030) 112
Table 5.5 Projected Worst Approach LOS (2030) 115
Table 6.1 Intersection of Blue Mountain Road / US 93 (2030) 135
Table 6.2 Intersection of Ridgeway Drive / US 93 (2030) 135
Table 6.3 Intersection of Tyler Way / US 93 (2030) 136
Table 6.4 Intersection of Lewis & Clark Drive / US 93 (2030) 137
Table 6.5 Intersection of US 12 / US 93 (2030) 138
Table 6.6 Intersection of Mormon Creek / US 93 (2030) 138

Table 6.7 Intersection of Highway 203 / US 93 (2030) ... 139
Table 6.8 Summary of Policy Tools and Parties Responsible for Implementation ... 149
Table 6.9 Screening of Options Adding Travel Lanes on US 93 ... 152
Table 6.10 Screening of Options Adding HOV Lanes on US 93 ... 156
Table 6.11 Screening of Bypass Options ... 158
Table 6.12 Screening of Lolo Options ... 160
Table 6.13 Potential Ridership within US 93 Study Area ... 161
Table 6.14 Screening of Enhanced Vanpool / Rideshare Programs, Improved Park & Ride Facilities, and Fixed Route Bus Service ... 162
Table 6.15 Screening of Passenger Rail Option ... 163
Table 6.16 Screening of Bike Lanes ... 164
Table 6.17 Screening of Separated Bicycle / Pedestrian Path ... 165
Table 6.18 Example Service Volumes for a Class I Two-Lane Rural Highway ... 167
Table 6.19 Screening of Super Two with Roundabouts ... 168
Table 6.20 Screening of Two HOV Lanes within Existing Lane Structure ... 169
Table 6.21 Screening of Center Reversible HOV Lane within Existing Lane Structure ... 171
Table 6.22 Screening of Center Reversible Travel Lane within Existing Lane Structure ... 173
Table 6.23 Screening of Grade Separation Option ... 175
Table 6.24 Screening of Frontage Roads / Connecting Local Roadway Networks ... 177
Table 6.25 Summary of Changes Resulting from Intersection Spot Improvements ... 178
Table 6.26 Screening of Intersection Spot Improvements ... 180
Table 6.27 Screening of Other Spot Improvements ... 181
Table 6.28 Screening of Policy Tools ... 182
Table 6.29 Results of Improvement Option Screening ... 183
Table 7.1 Recommended Near-Term Transit / Multi-Modal Options ... 198
Table 7.2 Planning-Level Cost Estimates for Near-Term Transit / Multi-Modal Options over 20-Year Planning Horizon ... 198
Table 7.3 Recommended Spot Improvements ... 199
Table 7.4 Planning-Level Cost Estimates for Spot Improvements over 20-Year Planning Horizon ... 200
Table 7.5 Recommended Policy Tools ... 201
Table 7.6 Recommended Mid-Term Transit Option ... 202
Table 7.7 Planning-Level Cost Estimates for Mid-Term Transit Option over 20-Year Planning Horizon ... 202
Table 7.8 Summary of Recommended Improvement Options ... 204

FIGURES

Figure 1-1 Study Area ... 1
Figure 1-2 Transit Analysis Study Area ... 2
Figure 1-3 Environmental Scan Study Area ... 4
Figure 3-1 Land Ownership ... 14
Figure 3-2 Missoula County Zoning Districts ... 17
Figure 3-3 Missoula City Zoning Districts ... 19
Figure 3-4 Prime Farmland & Geology ... 21
Figure 3-5 Surface Water North Half ... 23

Figure 3-6 Surface Water South Half ... 24
Figure 3-7 Floodplains MP 69 – 75 ... 27
Figure 3-8 Floodplains MP 75 – 80 ... 28
Figure 3-9 Floodplains MP 80 – 85 ... 29
Figure 3-10 Floodplains MP 85 – 91 ... 30
Figure 3-11 Wetlands MP 69 – 75 ... 32
Figure 3-12 Wetlands MP 75 – 80 ... 33
Figure 3-13 Wetlands MP 80 – 85 ... 34
Figure 3-14 Wetlands MP 85 – 91 ... 35
Figure 3-15 Hazardous Material ... 37
Figure 3-16 Hazardous Material-Missoula ... 38
Figure 3-17 Wildlife Corridor Areas ... 43
Figure 3-18 Species of Concern – Wildlife ... 46
Figure 3-19 Species of Concern – Plants ... 47
Figure 3-20 Cultural Resources North Half ... 50
Figure 3-21 Cultural Resources South Half ... 51
Figure 3-22 Household Density within US 93 Catchment Area ... 55
Figure 3-23 Land Use within US 93 Catchment Area ... 57
Figure 4-1 Geometric Characteristics of the US 93 Corridor ... 71
Figure 4-2 Comparison of US 93 Crashes with Number of Crashes Corresponding to Statewide Average Crash Rate for Similar Rural and Urban Facilities ... 79
Figure 4-3 Collisions with Wild Animals (2002 – 2006) ... 82
Figure 4-4 Roadkill Recovery as Compared to Collisions with Wild Animals (2002 – 2006) ... 84
Figure 4-5 Collisions at or near Intersections (2002 – 2006) ... 86
Figure 4-6 Growth Rates in the US 93 Corridor ... 88
Figure 4-7 AM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes in the US 93 Corridor (2007) ... 92
Figure 4-8 PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes in the US 93 Corridor (2007) ... 93
Figure 4-9 Overall Intersection LOS – Existing Conditions AM Peak Hour ... 96
Figure 4-10 Overall Intersection LOS – Existing Conditions PM Peak Hour ... 97
Figure 4-11 Worst Approach LOS – Existing Conditions AM Peak Hour ... 99
Figure 4-12 Worst Approach LOS – Existing Conditions PM Peak Hour ... 100
Figure 5-1 AM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes in the US 93 Corridor (2030) ... 110
Figure 5-2 PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes in the US 93 Corridor (2030) ... 111
Figure 5-3 Overall Intersection LOS – 2030 AM Peak Hour ... 113
Figure 5-4 Overall Intersection LOS – 2030 PM Peak Hour ... 114
Figure 5-5 Worst Approach LOS – 2030 AM Peak Hour ... 116
Figure 5-6 Worst Approach LOS – 2030 PM Peak Hour ... 117
Figure 6-1 Option Adding Two New Travel Lanes ... 120
Figure 6-2 Option Adding Two New HOV Lanes ... 120
Figure 6-3 Elevated Expressway Configurations ... 121
Figure 6-4 Option Adding Two New Travel Lanes with Center Reversible HOV Lane ... 121
Figure 6-5 Two New Lanes, Center Reversible HOV Lane, and Junior Interchange ... 122
Figure 6-6 Two New Lanes, Center Reversible HOV Lane, and Full Interchange ... 123
Figure 6-7 Option Adding Single New Travel Lane with Center Reversible HOV Lanes ... 123
Figure 6-8 Option Adding Single New Travel Lane with Center Reversible Travel Lanes 124

Figure 6-9 General Location of Bypass Options 125
Figure 6-10 General Location of Lolo Options 126
Figure 6-11 Bike Lanes on US 93 128
Figure 6-12 Existing and Proposed Pathways Adjacent to US 93..... 128
Figure 6-13 Super Two Option 129
Figure 6-14 Single-Lane Roundabout 129
Figure 6-15 Two HOV Lanes within Existing Lane Structure..... 130
Figure 6-16 Center Reversible HOV Lane 130
Figure 6-17 Center Reversible HOV Lane and Junior Interchange 131
Figure 6-18 Center Reversible HOV Lane and Full Interchange 131
Figure 6-19 Center Reversible Lane..... 132
Figure 6-20 Depiction of Existing Access Configuration and Proposed Connecting Roadway System..... 133
Figure 6-21 Overall Intersection LOS – 2030 AM Peak Hour (with Intersection Improvements) 140
Figure 6-22 Overall Intersection LOS – 2030 PM Peak Hour (with Intersection Improvements) 141
Figure 6-23 Improvement Option Screening Process..... 150
Figure 6-24 RFTA Highway 82 System Map..... 154
Figure 6-25 Projected 2030 Volumes on US 93..... 166

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: STAKEHOLDERS AND ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERS
APPENDIX B: NEWSLETTERS
APPENDIX C: LETTERS RECEIVED FROM STATE AND FEDERAL AGENCIES
APPENDIX D: MAP OF LOLO AREA LAND USES
APPENDIX E: NON-ATTAINMENT AREAS
APPENDIX F: ACCESS CONTROL REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS
APPENDIX G: DETAILED COSTS AND COST DERIVATIONS

This Page Intentionally Left Blank